

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 99-1077

ADOL T. OWEN-WILLIAMS, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER AND SMITH, IN-
CORPORATED; CARL ROBERT MEYER; ANGELA FITZ-
PATRICK MUDSI; VINCENTE ROE,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-
97-4225-PJM)

Submitted: May 25, 1999

Decided: June 1, 1999

Before ERVIN, WILKINS,* and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Adol T. Owen-Williams, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. James Petty Garland,
Brooks Ryan Amiot, MILES & STOCKBRIDGE, Baltimore, Maryland, for
Appellees.

* Judge Wilkins did not participate in consideration of this
case. The opinion is filed by a quorum of the panel pursuant to 28
U.S.C. § 46(d).

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Adol T. Owen-Williams, Jr., appeals the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of Defendants in his action alleging employment discrimination, conspiracy, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Owen-Williams v. Merrill Lynch, No. CA-97-4225-PJM (D. Md. Dec. 31, 1998).^{*} We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Although the district court's order is marked as "filed" on December 29, 1998, the district court's records show that it was entered on the docket sheet on December 31, 1998. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).